

All communications respecting this application should give the serial number, date of filing and name of the applicant.



**U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Application Number	Filing Date	First Named Applicant	Attorney Docket Number
09/339,818	06/25/99	Davis	038134-50010

Examiner
L. E. Crane

Art Unit	Paper No.
1623	25

DATE MAILED: n/a

**INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel)

(1) Mr. David P. Halstead

(3) Mr. Matthew P. Vincent.

(2) Examiner L. E. Crane

(4) --.

Date of Interview: **January 31, 2003.**

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy given to) ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description: See attachment.

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some of all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached

Claim(s) discussed: See page 2.

Identification of prior art discussed: See page 2.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comment: See p. 2.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would be allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP § 713.04) If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, the completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

PTOL-413 (amended 03/13/01)

09/339,818 - P. N. **25**

COPY FOR ☒ File ☐ Applicant

Continued on next page(s) ->->

Serial No. 09/339,818

2

Art Unit 1623

INTERVIEW SUMMARY(cont.)

Claims discussed: Proposed claims only in particular.

Identification of prior art discussed: The Kosak '736 and priority Kodak application.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comment: Examiner acknowledged the receipt of applicant's January 30th FAX of proposed claim amendments. Applicant's submitted a copy of the priority document (application no. 09/067,921) of the cited Kosak patent. Examiner and applicants discussed the submitted proposed claims during which discussion examiner pointed out areas where claim structure did not appear to properly correspond to the disclosed subject matter. Applicant's indicated that they would take under advisement examiner's views and respond to the Office action of record in due course.